

ORDER SHEET**WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-****The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J)****& The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)****Case No OA - 178 of 2016****Sk. Rezwanur Rahaman –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>14</u> 22.11.2019</p>	<p><i>For the Applicant : Mr. A. Banerjee, Advocate.</i></p> <p><i>For the Respondents: Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate.</i></p> <p><i>As one criminal case is pending against the applicant, which has been identified subsequently by the police department, the applicant has been removed during the probationary period itself by the order dated 30.12.15, which is as follows:</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>“The Service of Sri Sk. Rezwanur Rahaman, as provisional Excise constable, now posted at South 24 parganas district under Alipore Excise Division is hereby terminated with effect from 01.01.2016 as no such satisfactory verification report from the Police authority has been received.”</i></p> <p><i>The applicant was selected under special recruitment, wherein rules has been relaxed to the effect that police verification and medical test will be done while the training would be going on and it would not be taken as precedence by any department. With this condition, the recruitment took place and training started. During the training, while</i></p>	

ORDER SHEET

Sk. Rezwanur Rahaman

Form No.

Vs.**The State of West Bengal & Ors.**Case No. **OA - 178 of 2016**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
A.K.P.	<p><i>verifying the character of the applicant, police found that he was involved in a criminal case and hence they forwarded the same to the parent department. Having no alternative, parent department had to remove him from the job during his probation period, as per existing rules and regulations. Therefore, we do not find any reason to interfere with the decision of the respondents. Accordingly, the O.A. is dismissed.</i></p> <p>P. RAMESH KUMAR MEMBER (A)</p> <p>URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (J)</p>	